



CAPE  
Canadian Association  
of Physicians  
for the Environment  
  
Association Canadienne  
des Médecins  
pour l'Environnement  
ACME

## CAPE SCORECARD – Provincial Bans on Cosmetic Pesticides – 2016

Jurisdiction	Date passed	Pesticides Captured			Scope of Coverage			Exceptions		SCORE	Rating
		White List ✓✓	# of Toxic pesticides ✓✓✓✓	Covers New ✓✓	Covers More than Lawns ✓✓	Indoor Spaces ✓✓	Sensitive Areas (e.g. schools) ✓	Golf Courses ✗	Prohibits Municipal bylaws ✗		
Ontario	2008	✓✓	✓✓✓✓	✓✓	✓✓		✓	✗	✗	9	A-
Nova Scotia	2011	✓✓	✓✓✓	✓✓	✓		✓	✗	✗ <sup>(4)</sup>	7	B
Manitoba <sup>(3)</sup>	2014	✓	✓✓✓✓ <sup>(2)</sup>	✓✓			✓	✗		6	B-
Quebec	2003	✓ <sup>(1)</sup>	✓✓			✓ <sup>(1)</sup>	✓	✗		4	C
PEI	2010		✓					✗		0	D-
New Brunswick	2009		✓					✗		0	D-
Newfoundland & Labrador	2011		✓					✗		0	D-
Saskatchewan											E
Alberta											E
British Columbia											E

### Notes:

1. Only for areas frequented by children.
2. Only herbicides are captured.
3. Manitoba is considering withdrawing its Regulations.
4. Nova Scotia still has one municipality (Halifax) with an active bylaw.
5. This assessment is based generally on the construction of provincial cosmetic pesticide bans. It does not reflect the actual “on the ground” efficacy of a cosmetic pesticide law (e.g. enforcement initiatives).
6. Enforcement and education are important aspects of effective cosmetic pesticide bans. For more information, please refer to the CAPE report: ***Cosmetic Pesticides – Provincial Policies & Municipal Bylaws: Lessons Learned & Best Practices*** at: [cape.ca/pesticide-policy-report](http://cape.ca/pesticide-policy-report)

### Score:

A – Excellent Protection (9, 10, 11)  
B – Good Protection (6, 7, 8)  
C – Some Protection (3, 4, 5)  
D – Little Protection (0, 1, 2)  
E – No Protection

### Rating:

Maximum ✓ or ✗ score is denoted at the top of each column.  
✓ - positive (added)  
✗ - negative (subtracted)